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The debate over statistical forecasting in the months leading up to the 2012 election in the United States elevated Nate Silver as a figurehead of quantitative political journalism and as a champion of statistical truth in American political culture. While Silver’s forecasting is, in fact, not clearly distinguished among competing poll aggregators in either its methodology or the accuracy of its forecast, Silver’s fivethirtyeight blog and its now famous author were quickly targeted by attacks from both news media and political party representatives. While this debate certainly had its partisan aspect, not all of Silver’s critics were disgruntled republicans; some were well respected journalists with apparently serious concerns about this trend in political journalism and its effects on civic life in the US. Indeed, this public exchange suggests numerous urgent questions about the shape of political life in the United States today. To what extent does the controversial appeal of, and desire for quantitative explanations represent a break with, or continuity in American political culture? Can we read the rise of poll “wonkery” as symptomatic of a new alignment or emphasis in American politics?
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